arXiv:hep-th/9903177v1 21 Mar 1999

Three-dimensional topological quantum field theory of Witten type[1]

Małgorzata Bakalarska* and Bogusław Broda†

Department of Theoretical Physics
University of Łódź, Pomorska 149/153
PL–90-236 Łódź, Poland
*`gosiabak@krysia.uni.lodz.pl`
†`bobroda@krysia.uni.lodz.pl`

Abstract. Description of two three-dimensional topological quantum field theories of Witten type as twisted supersymmetric theories is presented. Low-energy effective action and a corresponding topological invariant of three-dimensional manifolds are considered.

I INTRODUCTION

The aim of our work is to present a step made in the direction of understanding of three-dimensional (3d) topological quantum field theory (TQFT) in the spirit Witten and Seiberg have done in the four-dimensional case. Actually, we will consider two "microscopic" 3d TQFT's and sketch their common low-energy consequences for topology of 3d manifolds.

First of all, let us recall the milestones in the development of four-dimensional TQFT. In 1988, Witten proposed a description of Donaldson's theory ("topological" invariants of four-dimensional manifolds) in terms of an appropriately twisted $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY SU(2) pure gauge theory [1]. But not so many mathematical consequences had followed from this approach until 1994 when Seiberg-Witten (SW) theory entered the scene. It has appeared that a newly-discovered dual description of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory in low-energy limit [2] provides us a new, alternative (but essentially equivalent and simpler) formulation of "topological" invariants of four-dimensional manifolds [3].

In the first of our previous papers [4], a physical scenario has been proposed to reach our present goal using a geometric-topological construction with scalar curvature distribution "compatible" with surgery. Though the scalar curvature distribution used "agrees" with the surgery procedure, nevertheless it is unclear why such a distribution should be privileged. In the second paper [5], we have proposed a simpler and more natural mechanism without any reference to curvature. In fact, we have directly applied known results concerning low-energy limit of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY gauge theory [6].

---

[1] Talk delivered by B. B.

II TOPOLOGICAL FIELD THEORY

In 3d, we have the two important topological quantum field theories (of "cohomological" type): topological SU(2) gauge theory of flat connection and 3d version of the (topological) SW theory. The former is a 3d twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY SU(2) pure gauge theory or a 3d version of the Donaldson-Witten (DW) theory, and "by definition" it describes the Casson invariant that appropriately counts the number of flat SU(2) connections [7] (see, Section A). The latter (3d SW) is a 3d twisted version of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY U(1) gauge theory with a matter hypermultiplet [2], [3] (see, Section B). It is interesting to note that the both theories can be derived from 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY SU(2) pure gauge theory corresponding via twist to DW theory.

A Donaldson-Witten and Casson theory

Let us consider first the usual $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric SU(2) Yang-Mills theory in flat Euclidean space R^4 [8]. The Yang-Mills gauge field A_μ is embedded in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral multiplet $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of one $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral multiplet $\Phi = (B, \psi_\alpha)$ and one $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector multiplet $W_\alpha = (\lambda_\alpha, A_\mu)$.[2] The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral multiplet can be arranged in a diamond form,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A^a_\mu & \\ \lambda^a_\alpha & & \psi^a_\alpha, \\ & B^a & \end{array}$$

to exhibit the $SU(2)_I$ symmetry which acts on the rows. This theory is described by the action

$$S = \int_{R^4} d^4x \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + D_\mu\bar{B}D_\mu B + \frac{1}{2}[B,\bar{B}]^2 - \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}i}\bar{\sigma}_\mu^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}D_\mu\lambda_\alpha{}^i + \right.$$
$$\left. - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{B}\varepsilon_{ij}[\lambda^i,\lambda^j] + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}B\varepsilon^{ij}[\bar{\lambda}_i,\bar{\lambda}_j]\right), \qquad (1)$$

---

[2] We will use the conventions of Wess and Bagger [8]. For instance, doublets of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$ (or $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$) rotation symmetries are represented by spinor indices $\alpha, \beta, \ldots = 1, 2$ (or $\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}, \ldots = 1, 2$). Doublets of the internal $\mathrm{SU}(2)_I$ symmetry will be denoted by indices $i, j, \ldots = 1, 2$. These indices are raised and lowered with the antisymmetric tensor $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ (or $\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$, ε_{ij}) with sign convention such that $\varepsilon_{12} = 1 = \varepsilon^{21}$. Tangent vector indices are denoted as $\mu, \nu, \ldots = 1, \ldots, 4$. The spinor and tangent vector indices are related with the tensors $\sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot{\beta}} = (-1, -i\tau^a), \bar{\sigma}_\mu^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = (-1, i\tau^a)$ described in the Appendices A and B of [8] by letting $\eta_{\mu\nu} \to -\delta_{\mu\nu}$ and $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \to -i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ with $\varepsilon_{1234} = 1$. Covariant derivatives are defined by $D_\mu B = \partial_\mu B - [A_\mu, B]$, and the Yang-Mills field strength is $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - [A_\mu, A_\nu]$.

where ${\lambda_\alpha}^i = (\lambda_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$.

Now we will construct twisted TQFT. The rotation group K in four-dimensional Euclidean space is locally $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_R$. In addition, the connected component of the global symmetry group of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory is $\mathrm{SU}(2)_I$. The theory, when formulated on a flat R^4, therefore has a global symmetry group [9]

$$H = \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_R \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_I.$$

Let $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{R'}$ be a diagonal subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_I$ obtained by sending $\mathrm{SU}(2)_I$ index "i" to dotted index "$\dot\alpha$", and let

$$K' = \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_{R'}.$$

Then, the transformations of the fields under $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_{R'}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha\dot\alpha} : \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) &\longrightarrow A_{\alpha\dot\alpha} : \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ \lambda_{\alpha i} : \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right) &\longrightarrow \lambda_{\alpha\dot\beta} : \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ \bar\lambda_{\dot\alpha i} : \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) &\longrightarrow \bar\lambda_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} : (0,0) \oplus (0,1), \\ B : (0,0,0) &\longrightarrow B : (0,0). \end{aligned}$$

And, we decompose the gaugino doublet ${\lambda_\alpha}^i$ into K' irreducible representations as [10]:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\alpha\dot\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot\beta} \psi_\mu, \\ \bar\lambda_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\bar\sigma_{\mu\nu\dot\alpha\dot\beta} \chi_{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} \eta\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Substituting (2) into the Lagrangian (1), we have

$$S = \int_{R^4} d^4x \left(\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3\right), \tag{3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - \chi_{\mu\nu} \left(D_\mu \psi_\nu - D_\nu \psi_\mu\right) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} B \left\{\chi_{\mu\nu}, \chi_{\mu\nu}\right\}\right), \\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(-\eta D_\mu \psi_\mu + D_\mu \bar{B} D_\mu B - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{B} \left\{\psi_\mu, \psi_\mu\right\}\right), \\ \mathcal{L}_3 &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2} [B, \bar{B}]^2 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} B \left\{\eta, \eta\right\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have obtained a TQFT — Donaldson-Witten theory.

Now we simply assume all the fields to be independent of the fourth coordinate and discard all mention of the fourth coordinate from the Lagrangian. The dimensionally reduced version of (3) is

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int_{R^3} d^3x \mathrm{Tr} \Big\{ & \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{2} D_m \varphi D_m \varphi + 2\chi_m \left[\varphi, \psi_m\right] - 2\omega D_m \chi_m + \\ & - 2\varepsilon_{mnk} \chi_k D_m \psi_n + \frac{4i}{\sqrt{2}} B \left\{\chi_m, \chi_m\right\} + \eta[\phi, \omega] - \eta D_m \psi_m + \\ & + D_m \bar{B} D_m B + [\varphi, \bar{B}][\varphi, B] - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{B} \left\{\psi_m, \psi_m\right\} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{B} \left\{\omega, \omega\right\} + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}[B, \bar{B}]^2 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} B \left\{\eta, \eta\right\} \Big\} . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We can obtain a supersymmetric action in three dimensions from (1) by the process of dimensional reduction,

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int_{R^3} d^3x \mathrm{Tr} \Big\{ & \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{2} D_m \varphi D_m \varphi + D_m \bar{B} D_m B + \\ & + [\varphi, \bar{B}][\varphi, B] + \frac{1}{2}[B, \bar{B}]^2 - i \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_m^{\alpha\gamma} D_m {\lambda_\gamma}^\beta + \\ & + \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}[\varphi, \lambda^{\beta\alpha}] - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{B} \varepsilon_{ij} [\lambda^i, \lambda^j] + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} B \varepsilon^{ij} [\bar{\lambda}_i, \bar{\lambda}_j] \Big\} . \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

And then substituting the above-mentioned twist

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sigma_{4\alpha\beta} \omega - i \sigma_{m\alpha\beta} \psi_m\right) , \\ \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(2i \sigma_{k\alpha\beta} \chi_k + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \eta\right) , \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

into the action (5) we get the same action (4).

Dropping fermions and topologically trivial bosons in equation (5) we obtain

$$S = \int_{R^3} d^3x \mathrm{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F_{mn} \right) .$$

It is a part of the bosonic action, with the absolute minima equation,

$$F^a_{mn} = 0,$$

corresponding to the Casson invariant of 3d manifolds [7].

B Seiberg-Witten theory

We start again from the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY SU(2) Yang-Mills theory. We recall that the moduli space of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY SU(2) Yang-Mills theory [2] contains two singular points. At these points the low energy effective theory is $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY U(1) theory coupled to an additional massless matter (monopoles or dyons) in the form of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet (sometimes called the scalar multiplet):[3]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \psi_A & \\ A & & \tilde{A}^\dagger . \\ & \psi^\dagger_{\tilde{A}} & \end{array}$$

The action of an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric abelian gauge theory coupled to a massless hypermultiplet is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int_{R^4} d^4x \Big\{ & \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \bar{B} \partial_\mu B - \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha} i} \bar{\sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}_\mu \partial_\mu {\lambda_\alpha}^i + D_\mu \bar{A}^i D_\mu A_i + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{A}^i A_i)^2 + i \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi + i\sqrt{2} \bar{A}^i \bar{\lambda}_i \psi - i\sqrt{2} \bar{\psi} \lambda^i A_i - \bar{\psi}(B - \gamma_5 \bar{B})\psi + \\ & - \bar{A}^i (B^2 + \bar{B}^2) A_i \Big\} . \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We know that the twist consists of considering as the rotation group the group, $K' = \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_{R'}$ and this implies that the hypermultiplet field content is modified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A^i : \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right) &\longrightarrow M^{\dot{\alpha}} : \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), \\ \psi_{A\alpha} : \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0\right) &\longrightarrow u_\alpha : \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), \\ \bar{\psi}_{\tilde{A}\dot{\alpha}} : \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) &\longrightarrow \bar{v}_{\dot{\alpha}} : \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ A^\dagger_i : \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right) &\longrightarrow \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}} : \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), \\ \bar{\psi}_{A\dot{\alpha}} : \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) &\longrightarrow \bar{u}_{\dot{\alpha}} : \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ \psi_{\tilde{A}\alpha} : \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0\right) &\longrightarrow v_\alpha : \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting equation (2) into the action (7) and taking into account that field content we get the following twisted euclidean action (compare to [11])

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int_{R^4} d^4x \Big\{ & \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \bar{B} \partial_\mu B - 2\chi_{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \psi_\nu - \eta \partial_\mu \psi_\mu + D_\mu \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}} D_\mu M_{\dot{\alpha}} + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}} M_{\dot{\alpha}})^2 - i \left(\bar{M}^{\dot{\beta}} \psi_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} u^\alpha - v^\alpha \psi_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} M^{\dot{\beta}} \right) + i\eta \left(\bar{u}^{\dot{\alpha}} M_{\dot{\alpha}} - \bar{M}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{v}_{\dot{\beta}} \right) + \\ & + i\chi_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (\bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{v}^{\dot{\beta}} - \bar{u}^{\dot{\alpha}} M^{\dot{\beta}}) - B^2 \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}} M_{\dot{\alpha}} - \bar{B}^2 \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}} M_{\dot{\alpha}} + v^\alpha \bar{B} u_\alpha + \\ & - B v^\alpha u_\alpha - B \bar{u}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{v}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \bar{B} \bar{u}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{v}^{\dot{\alpha}} + i v^\alpha D_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{v}^{\dot{\alpha}} + i \bar{u}^{\dot{\alpha}} D_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} u^\alpha \Big\} . \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

---

[3] Here the low energy fields are: the vector multiplet (gauge field A_μ, $\mathrm{SU}(2)_I$ doublet of fermions λ_α and ψ_α, a complex scalar B) and the hypermultiplet (consisting of two Weyl fermions ψ_A and $\psi^\dagger_{\tilde{A}}$ and complex bosons A and $\tilde{A}^\dagger$).

The dimensionally reduced version of (8) is

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int_{R^3} d^3x \Big\{ & \frac{1}{4}F_{mn}F_{mn} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_m\varphi\partial_m\varphi + \partial_m\bar{B}\partial_m B - 2\varepsilon_{mnk}\chi_k\partial_m\psi_n + \\ & - 2\omega\partial_m\chi_m - \eta\partial_m\psi_m + D_m\bar{M}^\alpha D_m M_\alpha + [\varphi, \bar{M}^\alpha][\varphi, M_\alpha] + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{M}^\alpha M_\alpha)^2 + i\omega\left(\bar{M}^\beta u_\beta - v^\alpha M_\alpha\right) + v^\alpha\psi_{\alpha\beta}M^\beta - \bar{M}^\beta\psi_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha + \\ & + i\eta\left(\bar{u}^\alpha M_\alpha - \bar{M}^\beta\bar{v}_\beta\right) + 2\chi_{\alpha\beta}\left(\bar{u}^\alpha M^\beta - \bar{M}^\alpha\bar{v}^\beta\right) - B^2\bar{M}^\alpha M_\alpha + \\ & - \bar{B}^2\bar{M}^\alpha M_\alpha + \bar{B}v^\alpha u_\alpha - Bv^\alpha u_\alpha - B\bar{u}_\alpha\bar{v}^\alpha - \bar{B}\bar{u}_\alpha\bar{v}^\alpha + v^\alpha D_{\alpha\beta}\bar{v}^\beta + \\ & + \bar{u}^\beta D_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha + iv^\alpha[\varphi, \bar{v}_\alpha] + i\bar{u}^\alpha[\varphi, u_\alpha]\Big\} . \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

As a result of the dimensional reduction of (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int_{R^3} d^3x \Big\{ & \frac{1}{4}F_{mn}F_{mn} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_m\varphi\partial_m\varphi + \partial_m\bar{B}\partial_m B - i\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha i}\sigma_m^{\alpha\beta}\partial_m\lambda_\beta{}^i + \\ & + D_m\bar{A}^i D_m A_i + [\varphi, \bar{A}^i][\varphi, A_i] + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{A}^i A_i)^2 - \bar{A}^i(B^2 + \bar{B}^2)A_i + \\ & + i\sqrt{2}\bar{A}^i\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha i}\bar{\psi}^\alpha_{\tilde{A}} - i\sqrt{2}\bar{\psi}_{A\alpha}\bar{\lambda}^{\alpha i}A_i - i\sqrt{2}\bar{A}^i\lambda_{\alpha i}\psi^\alpha_A + i\sqrt{2}\psi^\alpha_{\tilde{A}}\lambda_{\alpha i}A^i + \\ & + \bar{B}\psi^\alpha_{\tilde{A}}\psi_{A\alpha} - B\psi^\alpha_{\tilde{A}}\psi_{A\alpha} - B\bar{\psi}_{A\alpha}\bar{\psi}^\alpha_{\tilde{A}} - \bar{B}\bar{\psi}_{A\alpha}\bar{\psi}^\alpha_{\tilde{a}} + \psi^\alpha_{\tilde{A}}D_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}^\beta_{\tilde{A}} + \\ & + \bar{\psi}^\beta_A D_{\alpha\beta}\psi^\alpha_A + i\psi^\alpha_{\tilde{A}}[\varphi, \bar{\psi}_{\tilde{A}\alpha}] + i\bar{\psi}^\alpha_A[\varphi, \psi_{A\alpha}]\Big\} . \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Using the twist

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sigma_{4\alpha\beta}\omega - i\sigma_{m\alpha\beta}\psi_m\right), \\ & \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(2i\sigma_{k\alpha\beta}\chi_k + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\eta\right), \\ & A^i \to M^\alpha, \\ & \psi_{A\alpha} \to u_\alpha, \\ & \bar{\psi}_{\tilde{A}\alpha} \to \bar{v}_\alpha, \\ & \bar{A}_i \to \bar{M}_\alpha, \\ & \bar{\psi}_{A\alpha} \to \bar{u}_\alpha, \\ & \psi_{\tilde{A}\alpha} \to v_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

we get the action (9).

Dropping fermions and topologically trivial bosons we obtain

$$S = \int_{R^3} d^3x \left(\frac{1}{4}F_{mn}F_{mn} + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{A}^i A_i)^2 + D_m\bar{A}^i D_m A_i\right). \tag{11}$$

It is a part of the bosonic matter action.

III LOW-ENERGY CONSEQUENCES

Interestingly, it follows from [6] that low-energy effective theory for both 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY SU(2) case as well as for the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY abelian one with matter hypermultiplet is pure gauge abelian, and the moduli spaces are smooth. More precisely, we have the so-called Atiyah-Hitchin manifold (interpreted as the two-monopole moduli space), a complete hyper-Kähler manifold, in the first case, and the Taub-NUT manifold, in the second one.

3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric abelian gauge theory is described by the following action[4]

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int_{R^3} d^3x \Big(& \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_m \varphi \partial_m \varphi + \partial_m \bar{B} \partial_m B + \\ & - i \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha i} \sigma_m^{\alpha\beta} \partial_m \lambda_\beta{}^i - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{G})^2 \Big) . \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Introducing infinitesimal parameters $\xi_\alpha{}^i$ and $\bar{\xi}_{\alpha i}$, the supersymmetry transformations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta A_m = i \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha i} \sigma_m^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\beta{}^i - i \bar{\xi}_{\alpha i} \sigma_m^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_\beta{}^i , \\ & \delta \varphi = \bar{\xi}_i^\alpha \lambda_\alpha{}^i - \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha i} \xi^{\alpha i} , \\ & \delta B = -\sqrt{2} \varepsilon_{ij} \xi^{\alpha i} \lambda_\alpha{}^j , \\ & \delta \bar{B} = -\sqrt{2} \varepsilon^{ij} \bar{\xi}_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha j} , \\ & \delta \lambda_\alpha{}^i = -\frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{mnk} \sigma_{k\alpha}{}^\beta \xi_\beta{}^i F_{mn} - i \sqrt{2} \varepsilon^{ij} \sigma_{m\alpha\beta} \bar{\xi}_j^\beta \partial_m B + \\ & - i \sigma_{m\alpha}{}^\beta \xi_\beta{}^i \partial_m \varphi , \\ & \delta \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha i} = i \sqrt{2} \varepsilon_{ij} \sigma_{m\alpha\beta} \xi^{\beta j} \partial_m \bar{B} + \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{mnk} \sigma_{k\alpha}{}^\beta \bar{\xi}_{\beta i} F_{mn} + \\ & - i \sigma_{m\alpha}{}^\beta \bar{\xi}_{\beta i} \partial_m \varphi + 2 i \sigma_{m\alpha}{}^\beta \bar{\xi}_{\beta i} G_m , \\ & \delta \vec{G} = i \bar{\xi}_{\alpha i} \vec{\tau}_j^i \sigma_m^{\alpha\beta} \partial_m \lambda_\beta{}^j . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Using the equations of motion for all the fields one can easily show that this action is invariant under the supersymmetric transformations (13). Taking into account the following substitution

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sigma_{4\alpha\beta} \omega - i \sigma_{m\alpha\beta} \psi_m \right) , \\ \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(2 i \sigma_{k\alpha\beta} \chi_k + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \eta \right) , \end{aligned}$$

and knowing the auxiliary field $\vec{G}$ transforms in the $(1,0)$ representation (and hence becomes a vector H_k), we get the following 3d twisted version of the action (12)

---

[4] $\vec{G}$ is an auxiliary field.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S = \int_{R^3} d^3x \Big(& \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_m \varphi \partial_m \varphi + \partial_m \bar{B} \partial_m B + 2\varepsilon_{mnk} \psi_n \partial_m \chi_k + \\
& - 2\omega \partial_m \chi_m - \eta \partial_m \psi_m - 2 H_k H_k \Big) \, . \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}
$$

The topological BRST transformation δ_{B} induced by the $\mathcal{N}=4$ supertransformations is found by putting $\xi = 0$, $\bar{\xi} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\cdot\rho}{\sqrt{2}}$, which reads $\delta = -\rho \cdot \delta_{\mathrm{B}}$.[5] The BRST transformations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\mathrm{B}} A_m = \psi_m, \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{B}} \varphi = -\omega, \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{B}} B = \delta_{\mathrm{B}} \omega = \delta_{\mathrm{B}} \eta = 0, \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{B}} \bar{B} = -\sqrt{2} \eta, \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{B}} \psi_m = -\sqrt{2} \partial_m B, \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{B}} \chi_k = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_k \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{kmn} \partial_m A_n - H_k, \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{B}} H_k = \frac{1}{2} \partial_k \omega - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{kmn} \partial_m \psi_n .
\end{aligned} \qquad (15)
$$

These BRST transformations are off-shell nilpotent up to a gauge transformation $\delta_{\mathrm{B}}^2 = -\sqrt{2}\delta_{\mathrm{gauge}}$; e.g., $\delta_{\mathrm{B}}^2 A_m = -\sqrt{2}\partial_m B$. The action (14) is also nilpotent but on-shell.

Confining ourselves to the contribution of the Coulomb branch coming from abelian flat connections on the 3d manifold $\mathcal{M}^3$ we should consider a mathematical object akin to the Casson invariant. We have argued in [4] that such an object should count (algebraically) the number of abelian flat connection on a cover of $\mathcal{M}^3$. If $\mathcal{M}^3$ arises from $\mathcal{S}^3$ via 0-framed surgery on a knot [12] the 3d invariant is directly related to the Alexander invariant of $\mathcal{M}^3$ [13].

IV CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have indicated that both 3d TQFT of SU(2) flat connection as well as 3d version of topological SW theory can be described in low-energy regime by the Alexander invariant. In a future work, it will be necessary to improve the analysis to include all contribution coming from the Coulomb branch.

V ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work has been supported by KBN grant 2 P03B 084 15 and by University of Łódź grant 621.

---

5) ρ is an anticommuting parameter and the lower index B denotes BRST transformation.

REFERENCES

1. Witten E., *Commun. Math. Phys.* **117**, 353 (1988).
2. Seiberg N., and Witten E., *Nucl. Phys. B* **426**, 19 (1994).
3. Witten E., *Math. Res. Lett.* **1**, 769 (1994).
4. Broda B., in *New Developments in Quantum Field Theory*, NATO ASI Series, 1997, ed. P. H. Damgaard, Plenum Press, pp. 261-268.
5. Broda B., and Bakalarska M., Acta Phys. Polon. B **4**, 995 (1998).
6. Seiberg N., and Witten E., "Gauge Dynamics And Compactification To Three Dimensions", *E-print* hep-th/9607163.
7. Blau M., and Thompson G., *Commun. Math. Phys.* **152**, 41 (1993).
8. Wess J., and Bagger J., *Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, Princeton University Press, 1982, pp. 171-179.
9. Witten E., *J. Math. Phys.* **35**, 10 (1994).
10. Yoshida Y., "On Instanton Calculations of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory", *E-print* hep-th/9610211.
11. Labastida J. M. F., and Lozano C., "Lectures on Topological Quantum Field Theory", E-print hep-th/9709192.
12. Rolfsen D., *Knots and Links*, Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington, 1976.
13. Meng G., and Taubes C., *Math. Res. Lett.* **3**, 661 (1996).
    Hutchings M., and Lee Y., "Circle-valued Morse theory, Reidemeister torsion, and Seiberg-Witten invariants of 3-manifolds", *E-print* math.DG/9612004;